



HEALTH BENEFITS TRUST | WORKERS' COMPENSATION | PROPERTY & LIABILITY

308 WEST JONES STREET RALEIGH NC 27603 919-715-4000

Number 2014-17 September 2014

INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR or EMPLOYEE?

Many times, our member towns may hire someone to do small odd jobs in and around the municipality. These jobs may include such things as mowing the lawn in front of Town Hall once a week, housekeeping or simple maintenance tasks, and other general services on an occasional basis.

How do you treat these individuals? Are they employees? Or are they contractors? Most often, the Town may consider them as an independent contractor. However, there have been situations where the Town may put them on their payroll, pay them on a weekly or other periodic basis, deduct taxes, and supervise their work. In those cases, these individuals now become employees. Therefore, should there be a claim, the loss experience of these individuals will be included in the loss experience of your entity.

The Workers' Compensation Full Commission recently reviewed a workers compensation claim regarding the issue of who is or is not an independent contractor. This specific case gives us some guidelines, which may be helpful in making this decision prior to hiring an individual to perform work on behalf of the town.

The case, (I.C. NO. 141626, THOMAS E. HELMINGLER, Employee, Plaintiff v. RICHARD GAYLOR HOMES, INC., Employer, BUILDERS MUTUAL INSURANCE, Carrier, Defendants. OPINION AND AWARD for the Full Commission by RENÉE C. RIGGSBEE, Commissioner, N.C. Industrial Commission. Filed 10 December 2002.) lists several criteria to be considered. These are, as taken from this case:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

On December 27, 2000, plaintiff was an independent contractor and was not an employee of defendant Gaylor Homes. McCown v. Hines, 353 N.C. 683, 549 S.E.2d 175 (2001). "The doctrine of liberal construction [of the Workers' Compensation Act] arises out of the Act itself, and relates to cases falling within the purview of the Act." Hayes v. Board of Trustees of Elon College, 224 N.C. 11, 19, 29 S.E.2d 137 (1944)... In Hayes, the North Carolina Supreme Court recited eight factors which may be considered when determining whether a person is an "independent contractor" under the Workers' Compensation Act:

"The person employed

- (a) is engaged in an independent business, calling, or occupation;
- (b) is to have the independent use of his special skill, knowledge, or training in the execution of the work;
- (c) is doing a specified piece of work at a fixed price or for a lump sum or upon a quantitative basis;
- (d) is not subject to discharge because he adopts one method of doing the work rather than another;
- (e) is not in the regular employ of the other contracting party;
- (f) is free to use such assistants as he may think proper;

- (g) has full control over such assistants; and
- (h) selects his own time."

Id. None of these factors is controlling, but each is to be considered in determining the relationship between the parties. Id. The essential issue is whether the alleged employer has the right to control the method and means by which the alleged employee performs the work. Id.; Fulcher by Wall v. Willard's Cab Co., 132 N.C. App. 74, 511 S.E.2d 9 (1999); see also McCown, supra. In this case, the evidence is that plaintiff was engaged in his own trade, primarily performing punch list work where he independently used his skill, knowledge, and training to accomplish the items on the punch list. Plaintiff was paid by the hour, and, although at the time of his injury he was primarily working for Gaylor Homes, he had in the past worked for other contractors and was free to work for other contractors. Gaylor Homes wanted a final product that was pleasing to their homeowner-customer. There is insufficient evidence to suggest that Gaylor controlled the method and means of performing the work, or that plaintiff was subject to discharge because he sought to perform the work in a particular way. Although plaintiff did not use any assistants, he was free to employ assistants, and other similarly employed subcontractors did employ assistants. Finally, the time of plaintiff's work was controlled by plaintiff, the homeowner, or others. Gaylor Homes wanted the work accomplished, but did not direct the hours that plaintiff worked. Under the circumstances of this case, plaintiff was an independent contractor and his injury is not subject to the Workers' Compensation Act. McCown, supra. Accordingly, plaintiff is not entitled to workers' compensation benefits.

The foregoing stipulations, findings of fact and conclusions of law engender the following O R D E R $\,$

- 1. Plaintiff's claim is DENIED.
- 2. Each side shall bear its own costs. However, defendants shall pay an expert witness fee in the amount of \$500.00 for the deposition of Dr. Obremskey.

This is a perfect analogy for what many of our towns do: hire contractors. In this case Gaylor Homes hired an independent contractor to complete punch lists (just as a town may hire a contractor to do any number of tasks). The contractor was basically self-employed, bought Workers' Compensation insurance, but did not include himself for coverage under his policy. Since this contractor did not sufficiently meet the criteria to qualify as an employee, the Industrial Commission found that Workers' Compensation benefits were not payable.

It our suggestion that you use the eight factors (attached) when making a determination as to whether an individual is considered to be an employee or an independent contractor. If you wish to keep the relationship on an "independent contractor" basis, you should structure that relationship using the eight factors. Some examples of activities that may be subject to these criteria may include:

- Grass cutting/mowing
- Tree trimming
- Ordinance cleanup services
- Janitorial services
- Storm damage cleanup
- Ball game umpires/officials
- Roofing contractors
- Arts & crafts instructors
- Aerobic instructors
- Sanitation pickup
- Aquatics instructors
- Paving contractors

To provide you with further assistance, a sample copy of a "Memorandum of Understanding" is included with this Bulletin. You should structure this agreement based on the work to be done.

Additionally, your attorney should review and approve the agreement.

	Memorandum Of Understanding
	Through the course of a year, the City/Town of contracts for various construction projects. The city/town finds it necessary to impose certain minimum insurance and hold harmless requirements upon contractors performing the work. The insurance requirements are beneficial to both the city/town and the contractors.
	In order to be considered an "eligible contractor" to complete projects as called upon, the contractor agrees with the city/town to the following requirements:
<u>G</u>	eneral Provision
Ci pu	the contractor agrees to comply with the specifications of the project as supplied by the ty/Town of and provide for the proper protection of employees and the blic by necessary barricades, lights, traffic cones, flagman, etc. The contractor agrees to mply with all federal, state and local safety regulations and requirements pertinent to the job.
<u>In</u>	surance Provisions
A.	Workers' Compensation: Insurance covering all employees meeting statutory limits in compliance with the applicable state and federal laws. The coverage must include employer's liability with a limit of \$ for each accident, \$ bodily injury by disease, each employee; and \$ bodily injury by disease, policy limit.
В.	Commercial General Liability: Coverage shall have minimum limits of \$each occurrence, general aggregate, products/completed operations aggregate, personal and advertising injury. This shall include premises and operations, independent contractors, products and completed operations, broad form property damage, XCU coverage and contractual liability. The coverage shall be written on an occurrence basis. This limit should apply on a per project or per location aggregate basis.
C.	Business Auto Liability: Coverage shall have a minimum limit of \$ per occurrence, combined single limit for bodily injury liability and property damage liability. This shall include owned vehicles, hired vehicles and non-owned vehicles.
D.	<u>Umbrella/Excess Liability</u> : At the option of the contractor, the limits of the primary general liability, auto liability and employers' liability may be less than stipulated herein, with an excess policy providing the additional limits needed. This form of coverage must be approved by the municipality and will only be acceptable when both the primary and excess policies include the coverage and endorsements required herein.

Special Requirements

A.	Current, valid insurance policies meeting the remaintained to be considered an "eligible contracity/town 30 days prior to any expiration date. the city/town in the event of cancellation or mocoverage. Certificates of insurance meeting the forwarded to the City/Town of states that no liability shall be imposed upon this not acceptable.	ctor". Renewal certificates shall be sent to the There shall also be a 30-day notification to dification of any stipulated insurance required insurance provisions shall be Wording on the certificate, which
В.	It shall be the responsibility of the contractor to the same insurance requirements that he is requ	
<u>Ho</u>	old Harmless	
any explication her inverse	and its officers, employees, and all losses, penalties, damages, settlements, benses or liabilities of every kind and character ans, demands, obligations, actions, proceedings of connection with or arising directly or indirectly reof and caused by the negligence of the contract restigate, handle, respond to, provide defense for bense and agrees to bear all other costs and experiences, false or fraudulent.	and agents free and harmless from and against costs, charges, professional fees or other trising out of or relating to any and all claims, recauses of action of every kind and character out of this agreement and/or the performance tors. The contractor further agrees to and defend any such claims, etc., at his sole
Th	is memorandum of understanding expires upon	written notification from either party.
Sig	gned:	
Cit	ry/Town of	Contractor
Da	te	Date
No	tary	

INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR vs. EMPLOYEE CHECKLIST:

Whenever any public entity retains an independent contractor, who does not carry workers' compensation insurance, and the owner or an employee of that contractor is injured, a determination must be made as to whether the injured worker is truly an independent contractor or, in fact, is an employee of the public entity and, thereby, eligible for worker's compensation benefits through the entity. The NC Industrial Commission and NC Courts apply the following tests to make this determination:

<u>The person employed :</u>	Yes	No
(a) is engaged in an independent business, calling, or occupation; and has a Federal ID tax number		
(b) is to have the independent use of his special skill, knowledge, or training in the execution of the work;		
(c) is doing a specified piece of work at a fixed price or for a lump sum or upon a quantitative basis;		
(d) is not subject to discharge because he adopts one method of doing the work rather than another;		
(e) is not in the regular employ of the other contracting party;		
(f) is free to use such assistants as he may think proper;		
(g) has full control over such assistants; and		
(h) selects his own time.		

None of these factors is controlling, but each is to be considered in determining the relationship between the parties. The essential issue is whether the alleged employer has the right to control the method and means by which the "employee" performs their work. RMS will determine whether an employment relationship exists based on these factors.

For additional information contact risk management services at **1-800-228-0986** and select "safety and risk control" from the available options.

This Bulletin is intended to assist in minimizing potential exposure to financial loss and is not intended to insure compliance with federal, state or local laws, regulations or rules, nor is it intended to be a substitute for legal counsel, actuarial assistance or other professional services. By offering periodic information on safety or risk management topics, neither the League nor its sponsored risk pools undertake to assume or guarantee safety or risk from injury or loss.